

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

In Application No  
PCT/GB2004/002593

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 G06T15/20

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G06T

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data, PAJ, INSPEC, COMPENDEX, IBM-TDB

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 548 709 A (HANNAH MARC R ET AL) 20 August 1996 (1996-08-20) abstract column 7, line 21 - column 8, line 9 figures 1,2,7	1-11
A	POWERVR: "PowerVR - White Paper 1.0.5" 14 November 2000 (2000-11-14), POWERVR 2000, XP002297806 Retrieved from the Internet: URL: <a href="http://www.beyond3d.com/reviews/video1ogic/vivid/PowerVR_WhitePaper.pdf">http://www.beyond3d.com/reviews/video1ogic/vivid/PowerVR_WhitePaper.pdf</a> 'retrieved on 2004-09-24! chapter "PowerVR 3D"	1-11

-/--

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

### \* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*G\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

24 September 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

19/10/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Engels, A

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int. Patent Application No.  
PCT/GB2004/002593

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 1 267 308 A (IMAGINATION TECHNOLOGIES LTD) 18 December 2002 (2002-12-18) paragraph '0024! - paragraph '0026! paragraph '0030! - paragraph '0031! figure 4	1-11
A	MÖLLER T, HAINES E: "Real-Time Rendering" 1999, A K PETERS LTD. , NATICK, MASSACHUSETTS , XP002297807 page 99 - page 144 chapter "Mipmapping"	1-11

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/GB2004/002593

## Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claims Nos.: 12, 13  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this International application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

**FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210**

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: 12,13

Independent claims 12 and 13 explicitly refer to the description and drawings in the definition of the claimed subject-matter, so that the extent of the protection sought is not clear (Article 6 PCT). Moreover, since the reference to the description and drawings does not appear to be absolutely necessary for defining the technical features of the invention, the claims contravene Rule 6.2 (a) PCT. Claims 12 and 13, thus, lack clarity (Article 6 PCT) to an extent that precludes the establishment of a meaningful search.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Int'l Application No  
PCT/GB2004/002593

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5548709	A	20-08-1996	EP 0749599 A1	27-12-1996
			JP 9510309 T	14-10-1997
			WO 9524682 A1	14-09-1995
			US 5706481 A	06-01-1998
EP 1267308	A	18-12-2002	GB 2343599 A	10-05-2000
			EP 1267308 A2	18-12-2002
			AT 268489 T	15-06-2004
			DE 69917799 D1	08-07-2004
			EP 1125251 A1	22-08-2001
			WO 0028479 A1	18-05-2000
			JP 2002529867 T	10-09-2002